A focus on mammalian OSVZ progenitors.

Luc Grinand†*, Fumio Matsuzaki*

† Master BioSciences, Département de Biologie, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon.
* RIKEN Center for Developmental Biology, Chuo-ku, Kobe 650-0047, Japan

The outer subventricular zone (OSVZ) of the developing neocortex hosts a particular kind of progenitors resembling radial glia (RG), which have become a well-studied subject in the field because of their expected critical role in primate brain development and evolution. In this short review, we will recapitulate the main features of what is already known about other cell types populating the embryonic cortex, then review characteristics of the OSVZ, summarize the differences and similarities between RG and those progenitors (termed here OSVZ progenitors), and finally try to conclude on their “real” impact in evolution.

Introduction

Our understanding of the global developmental processes underlying mammalian brain formation has considerably increased in the past decades, and numerous highly conserved mechanisms were elucidated thanks to rodent models, however, it does not explain entirely how to build a thick and highly convoluted brain like ours. However, it is largely agreed that brain volume increase is probably the result of an increase in cell number, or more precisely of an increase in radial units [1] mediated by a higher number of neuronal progenitors.

Studying this matter involves comparisons between various types of brain models. Mouse and rat are taken as the archetypes of lissencephalic rodents, and chimpanzees, macaques or humans as representatives of gyrencephalic primates. To have a more gradual view of increasing brain complexity, the ferret is a gyrencephalic carnivoran, the common marmoset as a near-lissencephalic primate, and sometimes agouti as a near-gyrencephalic rodent, which are used to correlate some features to brain size or complexity.

We will here recapitulate part of what is known on the different progenitor types found in the developing neocortex, from the NeuroEpithelial / Radial Glia (NE / RG) cells to Outer SubVentricular Zone (OSVZ) progenitors, which is our main focus. The OSVZ is -as its name states- the outer par of the subventricular zone, that was originally described while studying the boundary between cortical areas 17 and 18 in the cynomolgus monkey visual cortex [2,3].

Progenitors residing in this layer have been studied in different laboratories and therefore bear many names such as outer [4] / basal [5] / intermediate [6] or even translocating [7] radial glia, based on their resemblance with this cell type, plus OVZ progenitors [8] in mouse. We will here use the term “OSVZ progenitors” since it seems to be the most frequently used one, even though it may be improper considering that mice does not have a really divided SVZ, and that those cells are also present in the inner SVZ [6,8].

The increase in the SVZ size is the most remarkable feature of cortical evolution, therefore the discovery of OSVZ progenitors, that were first believed as maybe specific of primates [2], allowed to theorize that they could be somewhat responsible for the highly convoluted aspect of our brains.

Variety of neural progenitors during cortical development

In the first steps of brain development, the neural tube is composed of a layer of cells in active proliferation named neuroepithelial cells. This results in a high density of cells in a restricted space, which is allowed by reducing the cytoplasm and stacking nuclei at different heights along the apical-basal axis of the epithelium, which is then described as “pseudostratified”. The cells also elongate and take the name of “radial glia”. The cell body of RG remains in the apical (ventricular) side, which will be called the ventricular zone(Figure 1A), the rest being filled with newborn neurons and/or other progenitors [9].

A particular feature of NE and RG cells is interkinetic nuclear migration : the nucleus of those cells moves along the apical-basal axis during the cell cycle, and contacts the ventricular surface for the M-phase [10]. Many explanations have been proposed for this behavior, but without getting into details, it may be related to the need for those cells to “fetch” their centrosome, since it is located at the apical surface, as a basal body which temporarily nucleates a primary cilium.

At the onset of neurogenesis, RG starts producing either Intermediate Progenitors (IP, also called BP for “Basal Progenitors” or sometimes SVZ progenitors in rodents) or directly neurons, while being still able to proliferate [11-14] (Figure 1B). The long basal process of OSVZ progenitors has also been demonstrated to play a role in newborns migration : the daughter cell usually moves out of the VZ by “climbing” on the parental fiber.

Generation of intermediate progenitors by RG cells is in fact much more frequent than directly neurons in the telencephalon [15], but it has been suggested that this could be the result of two distinct sub-populations of RG cells [16]. Newborn IPs migrate to the SVZ where they usually divide in two neurons, but can sometimes self-amplify through up to three rounds of mitoses, thus increasing the neuronal output of RG cells and
unveiling a possible role in the expansion of the cerebral cortex [11,15,17-19]. An interesting study on the appearance of neuronal markers in IP daughter cells suggests that pre-mitotic IPs might be already initiating differentiation [20], which feels contradictory with this (even limited) ability to proliferate.

What is the OSVZ?

The outer subventricular zone has been defined by the presence of an inner fiber layer (IFL) dividing the SVZ (Figure 2). The outer part was characterized as having a lower cell density as well as mitotic figures in it [2,3], but the fiber-based division is not always present or easily visible depending on studied species. With the increasing interest and knowledge on OSVZ progenitors, it became possible to give a more refined molecular definition to this zone.

Figure 1. (A) Neuroepithelial cells elongate and become Radial Glia, (B) then either generates directly neurons or Intermediate progenitors that can self-amplify up to three times before terminal differentiation into neurons. (C) legend for schematics used in this review. In every illustration, the apical side (ventricular) will be represented down, and the basal side (pial surface) up.

Another class of progenitors exists in the VZ which is called Short Neural Precursors (SNPs) in reference to their short basal process. Very little is known about this cell type, except that they seem to be exclusively neurogenic, and usually located at the ventricular surface. They were at first believed to be some kind of IP subclass [21,22], but this has been denied not so long ago [23]. According to the authors, it would appear that neurons produced by this cell type populate significantly deeper regions than IPs, predominantly in layer IV. No hypotheses concerning their precise role has been formulated yet.

A recent study on macaque, ferret and rat [7] characterized the Inner/Outer SVZ boundary in those three species using staining against Tau-1 for axonal fibers, Tbr2 for IPs and Pax6 for RG (and OSVZ progenitors [24,25]) as well as DAPI or Nissl to assess cell density. The IFL was seen only in the macaque occipital lobe, but wasn’t apparent or had a different aspect in other cortical regions. In ferret and rat no clear IFL was present, however, the inner part of the OSVZ was often characterized by oblique or tangential streams of cells. This had been previously considered as a possible “vestigial” form of an IFL [26], but considered here by the authors as likely to be a simple migratory stream of newborn cells [7].

The main features we can retain are:
- Tau-1 staining in macaque resulted in a “striated” pattern in the OSVZ, and scarce labeling in the ISVZ and VZ. Observations on rat and ferret gave similar
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• Tbr2 showed a dense staining band in the tau-free zone (supposedly the ISVZ) and a diffuse band in the tau-striated region (inner part of the OSVZ) in every species.
• Pax6 presented a strong labeling in the VZ. A diffused staining of Pax6 shows no sensible difference between inner and outer SVZ.
• Cell density, as assessed with DAPI or Nissl staining evidenced a high cell density in the VZ and in the ISVZ, but a lower density in the OSVZ in studied species.

To solve the problem in species lacking an IFL, these results allows us to define the OSVZ as the low cell density, high Tau-1, and low Tbr2 region of the SVZ, as opposed to the high cell density, low Tau-1, and high Tbr2 ISVZ (Figure 3).

OSVZ progenitors arise from a particular kind of radial glia division

Except in the very early stages of neurogenesis, RG cells divide in an asymmetric manner, but with a vertical cleavage plane (perpendicular to the ventricular surface), contradicting the expected model of asymmetric division: for cells showing only an apical-basal polarity axis, a vertical cleavage plane should be symmetric, and asymmetric divisions should theoretically involve an horizontal cleavage plane (parallel to the ventricular surface) [27]. An asymmetric division means the two resulting daughter cells do not share equally constituents of the mother cell, or more generally (which is often the result) does not have the same fate.

RG cells are characterized by their apical and basal processes, and their partition into daughter cells are thought to play important roles in the decision of daughter cell fate [28] (for more extensive explanations on progenitor cells polarity and mitotic spindle orientation, recent reviews are available [29,30]). The basal process can only be inherited by one daughter cell, while the apical domain is usually split. The daughter cell inheriting both apical and basal anchoring “remains” a radial glia cell, while the other daughter cell generally turns either into a neuron or IP, and can sometimes regrow a basal process to recover a RG identity depending on the embryonic stage and observed region.

These asymmetric mitoses requires precise control of the mitotic spindle, which is the focus of numerous studies. Knocking in/out some spindle control related genes sometimes results in a randomization of mitosis cleavage plane, therefore unable to segregate correctly RG apical and basal processes. An unexpected consequence of these experiments was the presence in the upper brain layers of numerous, abnormally localized RG-like (or at least Pax6+) progenitors [27,31,32].

We could therefore expect that this deregulation of the mitotic spindle caused an unusual segregation of apical and basal processes: one daughter cell inherits only the entire apical process, and behave like the standard product of a RG division, while the other one retains only the basal process, and usually migrates to become an OSVZ progenitor (Figure 4). This scenario would be consistent with the presence in mice of an endogenous yet small population of this cell type, which correlates with a low frequency of naturally-occurring oblique mitoses separating apical and basal processes [4,8].

Figure 3. Differential staining intensity for Tbr2, Pax6, Tau-1 and DAPI along the apical-basal axis. Tbr2 is characteristic of IPs, numerous in the ISVZ. Tau-1 stains axonal fibers present especially in the OSVZ, a striated pattern can be seen in the inner part of the OSVZ. DAPI stainings reveals a lower cell density in the OSVZ compared to the ISVZ and VZ. Pax6 stains both RG and OSVZ progenitors, but do not help defining the I/OSVZ boundary.
Such observations however rises interrogations concerning the true nature progenitors obtained this way: are they really proper OSVZ progenitors? Would the apical and basal processes be the main cell fate determinants involved here? Are they some kind of aberrant radial glia cells appearing upon unwanted “incorrect” divisions? And would OSVZ progenitors be nothing but “floating” RG after an unusual oblique mitosis?

OSVZ progenitors are delaminated radial glia

Two important studies [33,34] have investigated the differences between human OSVZ progenitors and RG to answer that last question. Taken together, these articles cover a wide range of cell characteristics, from well-known proliferation markers to an unexpected electrophysiological study (Table 1).

In many aspects, OSVZ progenitor and RG were shown similar: cells were most -if not all- of the time positive for Nestin, BLBP, GLAST and GFAP which is characteristic from RG. Both cells types are also positive for Pax6, Sox2, Ki67 and phospho-vimentin, classically used to identify proliferating cells. Electric resistance of OSVZ progenitors and RG was also comparable (while being different from other cell types found in the OSVZ). Neuronal markers like DCX and βIII-tubulin were never found neither in RG nor in OSVZ progenitors, as well as Tbr2, typically expressed in IP cells. On a behavioral aspect, they retain their basal process during M-phase, and the non-progenitor daughter cell is sometimes able to regrow a basal process to become a progenitor itself, like RG. The study characterizing the OSVZ in macaque, ferret and rat also claims that different sub-populations of OSVZ progenitors can be identified based on Olig2 expression [7] (directs astrocyte formation), echoing possible sub-populations among radial glia cells [16]. It is however credible in both cases that they may in fact be different behavioral stages of the same cell type.

But some differences had also been found: even if this can seem obvious considering their morphology, OSVZ progenitors no longer express apical domain markers such as Par3, ZO-1 and Prominin-1 present in RG. When dividing, as opposed to RG, they tend to show a more randomized mitotic spindle with a reported preference for horizontal cleavage plane, which makes sense with having only one process to segregate. Those two cell types diverge also on their dependency over integrin signaling at the pial (basal) surface: OSVZ progenitors population rapidly decreased upon integrin disruption, while RG proliferation was relatively unaffected [35]. It was however proposed that RG can obtain trophic signals through their apical domain, lowering the effect of basal disruption of integrins [33]. Finally, electrophysiology analyzes revealed “small, brief, inward, tetrodotoxin sensitive currents” in OSVZ progenitors, not shared by RG [34].

Complementary analyses using microdissections (ISVZ + VZ was removed) in these studies showed that OSVZ progenitors generate directly or indirectly, both excitatory and GABA inhibitory neurons (assessed by βIII-tubulin and ASCL-1 respectively), but their progeny often seems to proliferate without differentiating or recovering their characteristical RG-like morphology. Some intermediate progenitors (Tbr2 positive cells) originating from the OSVZ have also been reported.

Considering these results, both differences and similarities between those two cell types progenitors suggests, as proposed by the authors, that OSVZ progenitors can be regarded as delaminated RG cells.
Studies on other species [4,8] have comforted - if not demonstrated - using time-lapse video microscopy the hypothesis that OSVZ progenitors arise from oblique divisions, and have also contributed to characterize OSVZ progenitors, for example, it has been demonstrated that these cells undergo before mitosis what is called “Mitotic Stromal Translocation” (MST), which reminds the Interkinetic Nuclear Migration RG cells undergo, while it is possible this behavior could be a vestigial one-way form of it: the cell body moves toward the basal side, without performing the opposite movement after, which would be difficult due to the absence of an apicalanchoring. The role of the Notch pathway has also been shown to regulate their differentiation [34] as well as the ability for cells to regrow a basal process [8].

**What role in brain development?**

OSVZ progenitors have a still unclear role in brain development, it seems obvious that more progenitors means more neurons, which is a necessary step to increase brain size. The basal process of OSVZ progenitors was viewed as a “scaffold” promoting lateral expansion [6], or as a way to increase the number of neurogenic columns [34] which, in the end, converges to Rakic’s radial unit hypothesis [1], implying that more neurogenic columns would lead to cortical lateral expansion, and in the case of OSVZ progenitors, the lack of apical anchoring could allow an uncoupling of the basal and apical surfaces, which in the end should lead to gyrencephaly.

Even thought some experiments presented above resulted in “extra” OSVZ progenitors to appear, it didn’t show a clear relation with brain size. For example, mouse Inscutable knock-in randomized cleavage plane and increased brain size, while showing an abnormally high number of Pax6+ cells [36] (being probably OSVZ progenitors) outside of the VZ. However, Huntingtin knock-out also disturbed mitotic spindle control, but premature differentiation of progenitors was observed instead of any OSVZ progenitors-like cells [31]. In the end, these might well be side effects, since some genetic alterations like LGN knock-out also randomized the cleavage plane without any brain size change being reported [8,27].

**What role in mammalian evolution?**

Characterization of the OSVZ in human brain and the discovery of the progenitors it contains led to new hopes for our understanding mammalian brain evolution and development, and maybe finding the key feature underlying human higher intelligence (see for example [1]). However, it has been evidenced later that this cell type was not restricted to primates, but most probably a characteristic of gyrencephalic brains, since OSVZ progenitors have been identified in ferret [26,33]. This prompted researchers to investigate whether this cell type also exists in species with a “simpler” brain, but OSVZ progenitors were again found in the common agouti (near gyrencephalic rodent) with cell density comparable to ferret or human brain at studied stages [5,37]. It has been proposed that the marmoset lissencephaly evolved from a gyrencephalic primate ancestor [5], but it may not solve the problem considering that even mouse (lissencephalic) presented OSVZ progenitors [4,8].

Nevertheless, these findings does not exclude a possible differential regulation of these cells [38], and the low number of OSVZ progenitors in mouse [4,8] compared to other studied species correlates with the increase in OSVZ size in most gyrencephalic animals [6]. It was also proposed recently that these...
progenitors could be a way to develop a larger brain faster [37] instead of increasing size or complexity.

Implications for medical researches?

Disruption of mechanisms involved in brain development often results in mental retardation syndromes, for example genes involved in microcephaly have been studied and ended up being -at least for part of them- linked to mitotic spindle control in neuronal precursors [39]. Other mental diseases are expected or known to have genetic bases, like schizophrenia, autism, and epilepsy [40]. While researches presented here will probably not provide a cure for the most severe of these disorders, we can hope to learn crucial information to improve the treatment of apparently unrelated conditions like Alzheimer’s disease (or neurodegenerative ones in general). Leads explored for treatments usually involve grafts of neuronal tissue or “reactivation” of “dormant” progenitors, and therefore the demonstration that Pax6+ OSVZ progenitors are still present after neurogenesis [7] enlightens new paths to explore for future medical researches.

Future researches?

Our understanding of the exact role of OSVZ progenitors in brain development and evolution is still limited, but at a first glance, and considering their presence in every studied species, it would seem that their role may not be as crucial as we thought. However, the precise behavior of those cells, and especially their progeny can still show critical differences among species. For example, it could be interesting to investigate whether neurons generated by OSVZ progenitors populate preferentially one cortical layer, like SNP progeny seems to. The relationship between OSVZ progenitors and RG can still undergo further investigations : the endogenous mechanism responsible for shifting an usually vertical cleavage plane to oblique is not known, and understanding this could allow designing experiments where OSVZ progenitors would be forced to remain radial glia cell, which could reveal specificities without affecting the neuronal output.
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